## In re: Premera Proposed Conversion

			Page
BEFORE THE INSURANCE	COMMISS	SIONER	
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON			
In the Matter of the Application	)		
regarding the Conversion and	)		
Acquisition of Control of Premera Blue	, ,		
Cross and its Affiliates.	)		
oross and ros mrificados.	)	No. G 02-45	
	, 		
PUBLIC HEARI	ING		
BEFORE INSURANCE COMMISS	SIONER I	KREIDLER	
December 2, 2	2003		
at			
West Coast Ridpat	h Hote	l	
515 West Spra	ague		
Spokane, Washi	ngton		
Makan Dafar			
Taken Befor		2	
SUE E. GARCIA, C			
Certified Court F	keporte.	Ĺ	
of CAPITOL PACIFIC REPO		TNC	
2401 Bristol Cou			
Olympia, WA 9		•	
360.352.205			
		7.0m	
e-mail: capitol@ca			
www.capitolpacificre	eporter	. COIII	

## In re: Premera Proposed Conversion

		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Mike Kreidler - Insurance Commissioner	3,77
4	Public Comment	-,
5	Ms. Cathy Lobdell	10
	Mr. Paul Quam	11
6	Ms. Dorothy Detlor	11
	Dr. Jim Watts	16
7	Dr. Robert Hartman	19
	Dr. Bruce Cutter	21
8	Dr. Deb Harper	22
	Ms. Sandra Huggins	23
9	Mr. John White	25
	Mr. Joe Siemens	30
10	Ms. Judith Perala	32
	Mr. Mike Wiltermood	33
11	Mr. Jim West	35
	Mr. Terry Luding	37
12	Mr. Tom Westbrook	38
	Ms. Karen Hyvonen	40
13	Mr. Jim Dunn	41
	Mr. Paul Bramsman	4 4
14	Ms. Jean Hudson	46
	Ms. Linda Kobi-Smith	46
15	Mr. Chris Marr	48
	Mr. Doug Williams	53
16	Mr. Elliot Fabric	56
	Dr. Robert Trytko	59
17	Mr. Lloyd Guthrie	61
	Dr. Glen Stream	64
18	Dr. Elizabeth Peterson	66
4.0	Mr. Cary Bush	67
19	Mr. Brian McAlpin	70
0.0	Ms. Patrice Pendell	72
20	Ms. Margaret Klubben	74
01	Ms. Kathy Barrick	76
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24 25		
۷ ک		

Page 3 PROCEEDINGS 1 (6:11 p.m., December 2, 2003) 3 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Welcome. I'm Insurance 4 Commissioner Mike Kreidler. And thank you for attending this hearing regarding the conversion of Premera from a nonprofit to a for-profit company that is OIC case number G 02-45. purpose of this hearing is to take testimony from the public 8 9 regarding Premera's proposal to convert from a for-profit --10 to a for-profit insurance company. At this time I would like to introduce the parties. Sitting over --11 12 SPEAKER: I'm sorry. But I can't hear you. 13 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: You can't hear. 14 for the admonition. I need that. By the way, I'm using a 15 pen from the North Spokane Rotary Club to make sure that I'm quoting the correct lists and forms. 16 17 Over to my right here representing Premera we have John 18 Domeika -- maybe, John, you could just raise your hand -- who 19 is the senior vice president and legal counsel, and also 20 joining him is Tom Wolfendale, also with Premera. I would like to also recognize that sitting in the audience is the 21 22 CEO of Premera Blue Cross, Gubby Barlow, right there in the 23 back. 24 Representing the Office of the Insurance Commissioner's 25 staff review team is Deputy Commissioner of Company

Page 4

Supervision Jim Odiorne. And representing the third party intervenors is Nancy Isserlis.

Also here tonight with us is Assistant Attorney General Christine Beusch, who is assigned counsel to my office, and my counsel; Christina, to my right. And also here we've Sue Garcia, who is the court reporter, who is over here typing away and taking the minutes of this meeting.

Out front or actually standing at the door right now we've Scott Schoengarth, and also Bill Ripple, who are the OIC public affairs.

Now, I want to give you a brief update of where we are in the process of reviewing Premera's application, then I want to talk about the procedures for tonight's hearing.

On September -- in September 2002, Premera made its initial filing, called a Form A filing, asking for approval to convert from a nonprofit health insurance business to a for-profit company. If the conversion is approved, the for-profit company would only be owned by stockholders and publicly traded.

As part of the proposed transaction, the value of Premera would be made available to a foundation or similar organization to fund health needs of the public. While many laws apply though this transaction, the primary law is the Holding Company Act, RCW 48.31B and C, which applies to health service contractors, such as Premera Blue Cross.

Page 5

In early 2001, I asked the legislature for clear authority to review this -- to review this kind of transaction, and the legislation was adopted later in 2001. Without this important law, a change in Premera's operations, such as conversion, may not have been subject to the kind of scrutiny and review it is being given today. I would use the procedures and criteria laid out in the Holding Company Act to review and ultimately render a decision on Premera's proposal.

Although more than a year has passed since Premera's initial filing, there's been much activity and progress.

Public forums were held throughout the state in the fall of 2002 to hear the concerns of the public. In fact, one was held right here. Premera submitted additional documentation since its initial Form A filing. Staff of the Office of the Insurance Commissioner, with the assistance of outside experts, has reviewed tens of thousand of documents relating to Premera's business and proposed conversion.

The Washington State Medical Association, the Washington State Hospital Association, the Premera Watch Coalition, and others were granted third-party intervenor status by me and have been actively taking part in these proceedings. Parties have submitted expert reports, which are available on the Office of the Insurance Commissioner's website, which is www.insurance.wa.gov. In fact, there are some limited copies

Page 6

of the executive summaries of those reports available for any of you who would like to take a look at those documents tonight.

There are 17 different reports from a variety of experts ranging from accountants, tax consultants, investment bankers, lawyers, and health-policy consultants. The OIC website contains all of the hearing documents filed by the parties, and the 20 orders I have issued to date.

All of this activity and sharing of information has generated a considerable amount of attention in the media, particularly newspapers. Contrary to some of these reports, this case is still in the information gathering stages. I have not received recommendations from my staff, and I will -- won't be taking any decision -- making any decision until the proper time.

In the meantime, my review of Premera's proposal is being conducted as part of an adjudicative hearing in accordance with the state Administrative Procedures Act.

This means that this proceeding is somewhat like a trial, although, let me assure you, much less formal. I am serving as the judge in this matter and will issue a decision after all of the evidence has been submitted. The parties in these proceedings are the OIC staff review team, Premera Blue Cross, and the intervenors who have demonstrated a significant interest in the proposed conversion.

Page 7

Beginning in January 15, 2004, a hearing will be held in Tacoma, at which time these parties will offer their evidence through documents and testimony. This hearing will last up to two weeks. Times, location, and other details of this hearing are posted on the OIC website. In addition, I'm reaching out to the public by holding four public testimony hearings throughout the state like this one to hear your views about the proposed conversion.

Tonight is your opportunity to provide testimony that will be evidence for me to consider in deciding whether to approve or disapprove Premera's application to convert.

Because the testimony being taken tonight will be evidence in the adjudicative hearing, everyone who testifies must do so under oath. Therefore, prior to taking testimony, I will ask everyone who intends to testify to raise their hands to be sworn.

Please, please, don't let this formality deter you from testifying. You are free to express your opinions, you don't need to be worried about the fine points of law or proving your opinions with facts and data. I shall consider your testimony as your recommendation to me.

Because this hearing is part of the adjudicative hearing, the parties or the attorneys could ask you a question about your testimony in order to follow up or elicit additional facts. But -- but I want to add this: I am

Page 8

asking the parties the -- to this conversion to seriously show restraint tonight as they will have ample opportunity to present their case during the adjudicative hearing next month.

After all of the testimony remarks are made here tonight -- and they will be recorded by our court reporter, Sue Garcia, over here to my left -- the transcript of this hearing will be part of the record of this case. It will also be posted on the OIC website as soon as it is available.

Again, though through -- though we must follow certain required procedures for this hearing in order to comply with the Administrative Procedures Act, I want to stress that this hearing is informal. Maybe intimidating, but informal. This is an opportunity to provide me with opinions on Premera's proposed conversion, your experiences with Premera and with health insurance in the state, and any other information you believe would be relevant to my decision in this matter.

Once everybody is sworn in, I will call three names of people at a time. The first person will come to the table, and the two others will take seats behind the table over here to my far left and then proceed to offer their testimony.

If we -- if we find that after we've gone through the list of individuals who have signed up to testify that some others have been moved to want to offer testimony, I would ask them to step outside and approach either Scott or Bill

Page 9

over here so that they could sign their name in. And when you come up to testify, I will swear you in at that point.

When you do testify, I would ask this of you: Please state your name slowly and perhaps spell your name for the court reporter so that we make sure that we get it accurate. And also if you'd be kind enough to state your -- the town or city that you live in. And I should emphasize this because many people may want to testify during this two hour -- the two hours that are available to us. Your testimony should be direct and succinct as much as reasonably possible so that everybody has an opportunity to offer their comments.

At this time I would like to proceed in the process here online for swearing in those who wish to testify. For everyone who wishes to testify, I would ask you to please raise your right-hand at this time.

16

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

1112

13

1415

- 17 CATHY LOBDELL, PAUL QUAM,
  DOROTHY DETLOR, JIM WATTS,
- 18 ROBERT HARTMAN, BRUCE CUTTER,
  DEB HARPER, SANDRA HUGGINS,
- 19 JOHN WHITE, JOE SIEMENS,
   JUDITH PERALA, MIKE WILTERMOOD,
- 20 TERRY LUDING, TOM WESTBROOK, KAREN HYVONEN, JIM DUNN,
- 21 PAUL BRAMSMAN, JEAN HUDSON, LINDA KOBE-SMITH, CHRIS MARR,
- 22 DOUG WILLIAMS, ELLIOT FABRIC, LLYOD GUTHRIE, GLEN STREAM,
- 23 ELIZABETH PETERSON, CARY BUSH, BRIAN MCALPIN, PATRICE PENDELL,
- 24 MARGARET KLUBBEN,
- 25 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

afford to have it. So that's -- and all of articles I've read in the paper and all the articles that have been in our Chronicle, our local newspaper, have been definitely against this, not only in this state, but other states as well.

So that's my biggest objection to it. Because any time you go over -- an insurance company goes for-profit, they always raise their rates. And certainly, our people can't afford that. So that's my biggest objection to this. Okay?

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you very much.

MS. LOBDELL: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Paul Quam.

MR. QUAM: I'm glad I wasn't first. My name is Paul Quam, Q-u-a-m. I live in Spokane.

Just very briefly, who gains the most from having medical insurance? Middle income families? Wealthy families? Or as this lady just mentioned, those that are in the low to very low income brackets?

I pay \$340 a month for Blue Cross. When I was employed, of course, my employer said, "Well, you can pay it. You made a lot of money." When I retired, I still had to pay that amount, so I just dropped it. And then a year later, when I became 65, I had other insurance. My feelings on this -- of going public is: When you do that, you answer to the God Almighty shareholders. And they are very demanding.

Thank you.

Page 12

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you very much.

MS. DETLOR: My name is Dorothy Detlor, and I'm from Spokane. Thank you very much for the opportunity to present my perspectives tonight. I am the dean of the Intercollegiate College of Nursing and Washington State University College of Nursing.

I would like to say up front that, although I've been heard and been involved in many discussions related to the pros and cons of the proposed conversion, I'm not qualified to offer my opinion as to the merits of either side of the discussion, nor do I intend to do so.

I would, however, like to address a related issue. I understand that if such a conversion were to occur and Premera is permitted to go public, significant dollars will be available under the established foundations, which could be used to address unmet healthcare needs in our region. This, therefore, is the focus of my comments.

Currently there are significant health care needs across the state that are not currently being met by public or private funding, so I would like to speak very briefly to two of these areas in which I'm intimately involved.

The first of these areas relates to the nursing shortage, of which I know all of you are quite aware. You may or may not realize that nurses are the backbone of our healthcare system. You may also not be aware of the high

Page 13

level of education that is needed to prepare nurses to care for acutely ill patients and manage the complex technology that we see today in our healthcare facilities.

Research by Aiken and others published in September 2003 in the journal of the American Medical Association documents that there is a direct correlation between the educational level of nurses and the survival of patients after surgery. Specifically, and I quote, "In hospitals with higher proportions of nurses educated at the baccalaureate level or higher, surgical patients experience lower mortality and failure-to-rescue rates."

We also know that with aging population and the expanding use of technology, this nursing shortage is going to escalate in the years ahead if we don't proactively address the related issues. The Washington Nursing Leadership Council, comprised of the leaders of all of the nursing organizations in this state, has developed and is working with others to develop a statewide strategic plan to address the situation.

However, closer to home, my college of nursing, the largest in the state, currently is unable to accept two-thirds of the qualified applicants to our baccalaureate nursing program. We are turning away highly qualified, motivated potential nurses, students with grade point averages of 3.7, 3.8 because there're not adequate state

Page 14

dollars or sufficient faculty to support their education.

It's very expensive to educate nursing students in the health sciences or students in all of the health sciences, about \$3,000 per year per student.

Thus, we have to limit our evolvement despite the fact that most of our students have six job offers before they finish their program of study and are offered excellent salaries, some of sign-on bonuses of up to \$20,000. These factors are directly related to the desperate need for our graduates knowledge and skills.

Almost more serious than the nursing shortage, however, is the faculty shortage. This is becoming a critical situation across the country. And it is an outcome of the lack of funds to support master's and doctoral education for nurse educators. Obviously, without faculty, students can't be taught. If a foundation is established as an outcome of Premera's conversion, some of the available funds could make a significant aspect on this aspect of the healthcare system across our state.

The other areas area that I see an extremely acute need is in the care of low-income and homeless populations of our communities, individuals and families who are underinsured or have no healthcare insurance. Part of the mission of Washington State University as a land grant institution is to care for the citizens of our communities. My college

Page 15

operates and staffs several clinics that focus on the population, including the People's Clinic and the Ronald McDonald Care Mobile. These clinics have a combined operating budget of over \$1 million a year supported by grants, foundations, and private donations.

Just this morning the practitioner that directs our clinic services told me of two typical clients whom she has seen recently. One of these individuals was a woman in her late 40s who was laid off from her job six months ago and now has no insurance and no income, but is in great need of care.

The other client, in her early 50s, came to the clinic where she was diagnosed with breast cancer. She had never had any preventative care and no mammograms or other types of testing. What few funds she had available she used to try to address the healthcare needs of the other members of her family.

More than half the clients we see in our clinics come to us with no health coverage, a situation that is seen by many clinics and emergency departments. Premera has already contributed significantly to the operations of our health services. And funds from a large foundation, again, could make a significant impact on efforts such as these, ultimately positively impacting many residents of our state.

In conclusion, as I mentioned at the beginning, I am not in a position to comment on the merits or the nonmerits of

Page 16

the conversion. What I do want to emphasize is that there are significant unmet healthcare needs across our state and region that are not currently being addressed and which would greatly benefit from the establishment of a target philanthropic approach, such as is proposed. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Let me call on the next three individuals.

And I might ask that while applause is appreciated, because this is a quasi-judicial type of hearing, it's probably not appropriate. So I would appreciate it if you would just show a little bit of restraint in that regard.

Rob Trytko, Bruce Cutter, and Jim Watts, if you would be kind enough to come forward.

DR. WATTS: Jim Watts, W-a-t-t-s, Spokane,
Washington. Good evening. Thanks for letting me speak for a
few moments here.

I have a different view than many in this room in the fact that I am solidly behind the board of directors and management of Premera to convert to a for-profit company. I am a physician and former board member of Medical Service Corporation from 1993 to 1998 when MSC realized that they did not have the capital necessary to compete in the future. At that point in time there were 115 independent Blue Cross Blue Shield organizations, and I think that number has now dropped to 38 nonprofit and profit -- 4 companies are for-profit.

Page 17

The pace of change in healthcare has increased dramatically in recent years, and the future promises more of the same. My feeling is that the board, current board directors and management, has come to the same conclusion as we did at MSC between 1993 and 1998 that they need a dramatic increase of capital to maintain their independence and better serve the needs of the patients and the physician and the hospitals.

The conversion will not reduce consumer access to healthcare. It will maintain access or even improve it by being independent and committed to the Pacific Northwest.

This will be a positive thing for the State of Washington,
Oregon, and Alaska. The company is run by a board of directors from this -- from the various communities they serve. These are businessmen and physicians who are committed to the Pacific Northwest. I think this commitment to the Northwest will ensure the best possible medical care for the region and benefit the hospitals, the consumers, and the physicians.

The physician hospitals will benefit because it will have capital available to improve the operational efficiency over the long run. Just today, I've got two articles the for-profit Signa and Aetna talking about web enhancement and better features as a better way to serve physicians and stuff like this. And without the capital necessary to compete with

Page 18

the for-profit Signas, Aetnas, United, Anthem, without the capital available, they're not going to be able to better serve the Pacific Northwest.

The players are getting bigger and the Premera needs the capital to compete. Being a nonprofit is no advantage, and it will likely lessen the ability to remain -- maintain their independence over time. When it comes to raising capital, being a nonprofit is a disadvantage. The capital needed to compete for these nonprofits is not available to Premera. This lack of capital in the long run will hurt the physicians, the consumers, the hospitals.

I am sure the board of directors and management have done their due diligence and come to the same conclusion that conversion to a for-profit is in the best interests of those of the Pacific Northwest and the region they serve. These individuals, as I said earlier, are the business and medical leaders from the local communities, and they are committed to what is best for this region. They're not operating out of New York or some other area. They want to do what's best for us, the hospitals, and the consumers.

The state has already known for its antibusiness situation with other businesses is well known. I think this would worsen the situation if the state interferes with a company to do what is best to compete with the national for-profit organizations. What is the long -- what is the

Page 19

short-term politically correct or best is not what's best in the long run. I think you have to look at the long-term situation, what is best for Premera to keep them independent and keep them doing what is best for all the consumers, the physicians, and hospitals.

Let Premera go for-profit and it will ensure its independence and what is best for us in the region they serve. It is a win for the state through a company that is independent, supporting the local employment and tax base. As a physician and consumer, I ask you to allow the conversion to go forward. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Rod Trytko.

DR. HARTMAN: If you don't mind, I'm going to step up. I'm Dr. Robert Hartman. I've practiced obstetrics and gynecology in Spokane County for the last 20 years and still do. And I'm currently the president of the Spokane County Medical Society.

Premera's request for conversion to for-profit status in Washington is opposed by the Spokane County Medical Society. We believe that the additional errors, expense, money paid to stockholders, and executive salaries will result in fewer dollars to the healthcare of Washington citizens. If this conversion is allowed to go forward, we would expect increased premiums.

As a business owner myself, as many of you feel the same

Page 20

way, I'm finding our employee healthcare premiums increasing approximately 30 percent for this next year again. Like many business owners, I'm looking for more accountability from all parties, including our patients, ourselves as physicians, and the companies providing healthcare coverage. Many businesses report they can't afford further increases. I believe this will predictably result in fewer covered services and few citizens with healthcare coverage in Washington.

From the physicians' point of view, we would anticipate lower reimbursement rates in our region, a region that is already suffering from inability to recruit new physicians due to low reimbursements resulting in inability to reach other localities for new physicians. This would be, in our view, one more nail in the coffin of excellent healthcare in Eastern Washington, currently the number one industry in Spokane, which is already stressed by the challenging economy and soaring liability insurance rates.

Should the conversion to for-profit status be approved, we would anticipate even more of we already have experienced, increased numbers of denials for valid claims, decreased physician reimbursements from the downstream, social and economic hinderances, more barriers to payment claims and in a fair and timely manner, and finally, provider contracts that are even more unfavorable than these we currently tolerate.

Page 21

As you know, in some states where these conversions have been allowed, reimbursements have fallen up to 10 percent. That would be a mortal blow for the healthcare industry in Eastern Washington where Premera already has great market power. I believe my group experience is typical in that they represent 60 percent of the nongovernmental contracts from my medical group.

Premera sought this conversion under the guise of improving healthcare coverage in Washington. In our mind, the case has not been made, the increase capitalization does not translate to better access by virtue of any arguments offered by Premera. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Bruce Cutter. And let me call off the next three names here as Mr. Cutter comes up, Dr. Deb Harper, Sandra Huggins, and John White. Please.

DR. CUTTER: Thank you. Bruce Cutter, C-u-t-t-e-r, Spokane, Washington. Thank you for allowing me to come in this evening. I'm a physician in Spokane. And I would like to provide some commentary to this, some support, but some concerns as well as.

The reality is that Premera is a business. Premera needs to grow if they're going to be maintaining the -- a viable business long term, just like my practice needs to grow, just like any of our businesses need to grow.

Businesses need to grow and businesses need capital to grow.

So the question becomes: How does one obtain that capital?

And I don't actually have a real problem with accessing the equity markets to be able to get that capital.

Having said that, I would also raise a cautionary note and a concern, that what are you going to do with that growth and how are you going to grow. Because there is going to be pressure to grow. In fact, there's pressure to grow now. And there's going to be more pressure to grow in the future. And how is that going to be managed? Is that pressure to grow going to be done on the backs of the physicians and hospitals in this community, as an example?

As Dr. Hartman noted, we are already suffering from an inability to recruited physicians to this region, that inability is real and is a concern. So there's caution. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you very much. And Deb Harper. And following Deborah Harper, Sandra Huggins and then John White. Please.

DR. HARPER: Thank you for coming to Spokane,

Commissioner Kreidler, and opening this process up. I'm Deb

Harper. I'm a pediatrician here in Spokane. I've lived here

since 1985.

And I would like to ask you, please, to not allow Premera to go for-profit. I know that you and your staff has

Page 23

spent a lot of time and have consulted with experts about insurance conversions in other communities, and you know about the negative effects that have occurred in many of these communities.

Premera believes that their conversion will be different because they're going to make some small changes in their conversion process. Spokane is not the place to allow this experiment. Premera commands the vast majority of the commercial market in health insurance in Spokane. They are the gorilla in the healthcare insurance market. And I think you know the joke about the gorilla. Where does the a gorilla sleep? Anywhere it wants to. Thank you. Anywhere he wants to.

Spokane's healthcare gorilla -- Spokane's healthcare industry does what Premera wants. We have to. Physicians that say no to a Premera contract will find the viability of their practices at risk. Yet as a for-profit entity, Premera will need to impose even more difficult terms on the contracts with their physician network to squeeze out profits for their investors for whom they have fiduciary responsibility.

Doctors' offices in Spokane already spend many hours trying to get approval for their Premera patients' referrals. When I was in private practice, we had to hire a full-time employee just to handle Premera's referral paperwork. If

Page 24

converted to a for-profit, Premera will have more incentive to write barriers to patient referrals. The healthcare dollar can only be stretched so far. What fat and inefficiencies were in our system in the '90s has now vanished. Additional money sent to investors will mean less money for direct patient care.

Please do not allow this experiment to go forward. The cost to our community will be too great. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Sandra Huggins.

MS. HUGGINS: I'm Sandra Huggins from Spokane. I'm with UFCW Local 1439 and the Spokane Lions. We appreciate the opportunity tonight to voice our opinion on Premera and their proposal. I'm the benefits director for UFCW Local 1439 and represent over 4,900 members who currently have coverage with Premera. Our members are in the Eastern Washington, Central Washington, and North Idaho area.

In the last ten years our group rate has increased from \$234 to \$542 per person per month. This rate has increased 132 percent in ten years. Our wages have not. The potential for much larger increases if Premera does become for-profit is our primary concern.

Our members appreciate having healthcare coverage as a part of their benefit package, and they've worked very hard to keep this coverage at an affordable level. At this point they cannot afford more benefit reductions or plan increases.

Page 25

Our employers are asking that potential wage increases be used to fund these annual increases.

While our members healthcare costs rise, the wages remain the same. Knowing that the increases are not in the best interests of their plan will not be easy to accept.

Losing our healthcare is not an option we are proud of. The fact that we have negotiated healthcare benefits is a major part of our collective bargaining agreements. We understand that all taxpayers will suffer when people go without insurance.

We would like to see Premera dedicated to improving healthcare coverage for their subscribers, not improving the wages and compensations packages of their top executives.

It's our health, our life, our dollars that are at stake. We want Premera to answer to us, not a shareholder.

We need to trust that Premera is doing everything that it could to provide us with quality healthcare at the lowest possible rate. Our group needs participating healthcare providers and equal healthcare coverage in all areas of the state, including rural areas. The healthcare providers in our area cannot take any more reductions on their reimbursement rates. Many of our members choose to live in rural areas, but they shouldn't lose their healthcare providers as a result.

Please don't penalize the subscribers and healthcare

	Page 26
1	providers so that a small group of executives can profit. We
2	ask that you deny Premera's application to convert to a
3	for-profit corporation. Thank you for your time.
4	COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. As John White
5	comes up
6	MR. WHITE: Yes. Yes, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: let me just call the
8	next three. Will be Joe Siemens, Judith Perala, and Mike
9	Wiltermood.
10	MR. WHITE: My name's John White, and I'm from
11	Newport, Washington. Good evening. I'm the Chief Executive
12	Officer of Newport Community Hospital. But tonight, I come
13	to you to speak on behalf of the Northeastern Washington
14	Hospital Council. This hospital council's made up of 17
15	hospitals in the northeastern part of the state, some here in
16	Spokane, and also hospitals
17	COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Excuse me. Pardon me.
18	I
19	MR. WHITE: That's all right. I'm sorry. Would
20	you like me to start over?
21	COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Please.
22	MR. WHITE: I'm not far into it. I'm from Newport
23	Community Hospital. I'm their chief executive officer of the
24	hospital. I represent the Northeastern Washington Hospital
25	Council. There's 17 hospitals, some of which are here in

Page 27

Spokane, the rest are in rural Eastern Washington here in this part of the state.

My written testimony includes a list of the hospitals if you'd like to see that. Hospitals in our council and statewide are -- along with other key healthcare providers, nurses, doctors, are concerned about the Premera conversion. We strongly oppose it. Fundamentally, we are worried that if Premera becomes a for-profit company, shareholders will expect to see a profit. And that profit must come from somewhere, either cutting things for the providers, raising costs for insurance coverage, or dropping less profitable but clearly important lines of business.

According to our colleges in other states, who have also faced these types of conversions, this converting to a fro-profit status is unnecessary, and it's not in the public's interest. From a survey of other state hospital associations where conversions have taken place, none of the health plans improved their performance in any area of public accountability. Subscriber services or providers', in several cases, performances worsened in the plain responsiveness to state policy, to the level of payments to providers, and to handling of disputed claims.

Our main concerns are several, number one, the effect on providers. In our area Premera is the largest insurer by a significant margin. In rural Eastern Washington Premera may

Page 28

be the only insurer or one of the very few insurers, and we are, again, concerned that a for-profit Premera will seek profit by cutting rates it's paid to these providers. We believe that the providers' ability to collect fair payments will be threatened. Newport Community Hospital's had its own experience with Premera's running power. We're currently involved in a lawsuit for -- because Premera failure to pay critical access hospital program.

In a survey done by the Washington State Hospital Associations, many hospitals have cited current difficulties negotiating with Premera. They're concerned that they may be even more difficult to negotiate with it if they are a for-profit company, particularly in areas where there are no competitors. An insurer can drive a hard bargain if they're the only game in town. Many hospitals, particularly the rural hospitals, are in very difficult financial straits today, and a potential Premera payment cut is very difficult for these hospitals.

Our second concern, the effect on the public. We believe that conversion will hurt the insurance-buying public. We need to -- we think that the need to produce a profit, that could effect the public in the following ways: first of all, to increase the costs of health insurance; secondly, the potential reduction of benefits; and thirdly, dropping coverage for people who are sick, who are elderly,

Page 29

who are low income, who live in rural areas served by public programs, or lines of business that are otherwise unprofitable. Ultimately, the conversion will increase the number of uninsured people in Washington.

In the past our state has benefited from a higher rate of insurance coverage than in many other states. Now, in the state's difficult economic times, as we created a new group of uninsured people, we do not want to see even more people without health insurance as a result of conversion. When people lose coverage, they often end up being treated in hospital emergency departments, where they wait to receive care until they are acutely ill and they have to be hospitalized. Many of our hospitals have strained sources and are struggling to provide care for the uninsured, who have lost they're medical coverage.

Third point, potential takeover can move out of state.

In almost every other conversion in the country, once a Blue Cross Blue Shield plan is converted, it sells out to a larger out-of-state insurer. We believe that for-profit Premera would be a likely target for this type of takeover. This now seems even more likely as Anthem and WellPoint are considering a merger and becoming more exclusive. We're concerned the conversion will create an insurer with fewer connections to our communities and an insurer with a reduced understanding of our market and our geography.

Page 30

Fourth point, less money for healthcare. We believe that the conversion will be a change for the worse in how the company spends its money. Proponents of the conversion claim that for-profit plans will achieve a scale and spend less on administration while, in fact, numerous studies have shown that the reverse is true. A significantly smaller portion of the for-profit planned revenue are spent on actual healthcare, and more is spent on administration.

Fifth point, evidence shows that conversions are bad.

The preponderance of the evidence about what happens postconversion is negative. With the first proposed conversions, people did not understand what the effect would be and were enticed by the potential funding of the healthcare foundation. However, now that the insurance commissioners and the public experience analyzed what's happened in other states, their opinions changed. Several recently proposed conversions in Maryland, Kansas, and North Carolina have all been denied by the insurance commissioners, or they've been withdrawn.

And we would ask commissioner Kreidler to examine the evidence and do the right thing by denying the proposed Premera conversion. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Next we have Joe Siemens. Will you come forward? Followed by Judith.

(Interruption by court reporter to

Page 31

1 slow speech.)

MR. SIEMENS: I'll try to do that. My name is Joe Siemens, and I live here in Spokane. I'm a clinical laboratory scientist with the veterans administration. I have previously worked for Premera as special projects manager and also a healthcare contracting analyst.

Bonuses, salary increases, and other financial incentives for top executives often play a big role in corporate restructuring. In Maryland, when Blue Cross and Blue Shield applied for conversion, executive payouts were the primary motivation for the decision. Here in Washington, the application includes a plan to issue stock options to executives if the conversion is approved.

Premera has publicly stated that there will be no conversion-related bonuses for its top executives.

Currently, Premera's executive bonuses are equal to 40 percent of their base salary if financial targets are met.

Therefore, if executive salaries increase upon conversion, so to will the bonuses.

Premera has never promised that executives will not receive conversion-related raises. In fact, salary increases begin occurring several years prior to the announcement of the plans to convert. Premera admits that their stock ownership plan lacks crucial details to calculate the value

Page 32

of the potential payout to Premera insiders. In a number of other conversions across the country, salary increases for top executives have been implemented prior to the filing of conversion application. In nearly every category, Premera executives are paid two to three times more than their counterparts at Group Health and Regence Blue Shield in 2001.

When looking at other conversions and acquisitions in the U.S., across the board compensation peaks when this occurs. A study in 2001 showed that the average compensation awarded companies' highest paid execs was \$15.1 million. Each company's highest paid execs also had an average of \$67.7 million in unexercised stock options.

Premera admits that converting to a stock corporation is not a necessity, citing its steady financial performance in recent years. The question then is: What is Premera's driving interest in conversion? Would that interest wane if the OIC imposed conditions limiting salaries bonuses, stock options, and other forms of executive compensation? There are no other areas in healthcare that are paying salaries and bonuses that are as dramatic as what third party payers are being paid. Where does the money come from to pay these salaries? It comes from the subscribers who could hardly afford their health insurance.

We talk about limiting the cost of healthcare. This conversion has the appearance of conspicuous consumption.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you, sir. Judith
- 3 Perala.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PERALA: Good evening. I'm Judith Perala from

Veradale. I have been a practicing registered nurse for 40

6 years, 27 of those years here in Spokane.

I and so many of the people I have cared for have had Premera as their insurance carrier and have been well cared for. I feel that if Premera were to convert to a for-profit company, they would feel the need to satisfy their shareholders and board members rather than their policyholders. I do not feel it would benefit the health of this community if Premera were to convert to a for-profit company. I do not support the conversion. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Mike
Wiltermood. And, Mike, as you take a seat there, just let me
call on the next three individuals. I would like to call on
State Senator Jim West, Terry Luding, and not sure -- I'm
not -- missing my spot here. And Tom Westbrook. Please.

MR. WILTERMOOD: Thank you, Commissioner. My name is Mike Wiltermood, W-i-l-t-e-r-m-o-o-d. I'm the administrator at Coulee Community Hospital in Grand Coulee, Washington, and the current resident of the Columbia Hospital with members in communities such as Ritzville, Davenport, Odessa, Ephrata, Quincy, and Clinton. Together,

Page 34

collectively, our council services 100,000 people or more in an area of 10,000 square miles.

We have a disproportionate population that is poor in Grand Coulee. 45 percent of our population lives at 200 percent of poverty level or below. We mostly serve health professional shortage areas. We often have to subsidize physician salaries in order to keep physicians in our communities and maintain our health system. In many cases we provide the only service available -- healthcare service available for an hour's drive in either direction.

We have opposed, as a council, the conversion to for-profit status by Premera principally because of its tremendous market share in our communities. For most of us Premera represents 60 to 80 percent of the commercial business that we have. We operate on very thin margins. Premera's a very tough business partner. At present, although tough, it's been fair, and we're concerned that for-profit status would increase premiums to our community and also reduce payments to our healthcare providers.

Just for illustration, for most of us as hospitals because of the tremendous market share that Premera has in our communities, a 5-percent swing in reimbursement and a 5-percent discount or 5-percent increase in premiums for most of our hospitals would wipe out our operating margin completely.

Page 35 I won't repeat the many fine points made by John White 1 2 of Newport Hospital, just to say that we agree with them. But I do want to point out -- make one telling point and that 3 is for-profit commercial insurance companies do not bid for 4 5 businesses in communities like Grand Coulee. In Grant County, where our hospital is located, for-profit companies, 6 such as Aetna and Signa, charge the state 20 percent more to 8 manage Healthy Options contracts than does nonprofit Community Health Plan of Washington, and we can only get a 9 contract with Community Health Plan of Washington. Signa or 10 11 Aetna will not bid in our area. So for those reasons, along with some others, we oppose 12 13 the conversion. Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Jim West. And, Jim, congradulations on your election, mayor-elect of 15 Spokane. Jim, this is being conducted under the 16 17 Administrative Procedures Act, and if you would raise your 18 right-hand. 19 20 JIM WEST, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 21 22 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. 23 MR. WEST: Thank you, Commissioner Kreidler. 24 Welcome to Spokane. 25 I'm here in my capacity as a state senator, not as a

Page 36

mayor. And I'm not here to judge whether Premera should convert to a for-profit company. You have before you thousands of pages of documents and several consulting firms that have been involved in looking at this, and they've made recommendations to you. And I'm sure there are many sides to the issue as you're hearing tonight.

However, I am here to talk about how companies doing business in Washington state need flexibility to make decisions that help them continue to do business in this state. We all know -- and I'm a member of the Governor's Competitiveness Council -- that it is very difficult for businesses in this state on many fronts.

We have, over the years, passed many pieces of legislation that have made it more difficult for businesses to survive in this business climate. And we see jobs being lost throughout the state because of that. We need to be going in the direction of making this a more job-friendly state, both in Eastern Washington and Western Washington. Health insurance is just one example of a business segment that we have not been able to attract to the state.

As you're aware, Commissioner Kreidler, there were a number of health insurance companies present in Washington state doing business in Washington state prior to the 1993 Healthcare Act and prior to the actions of your predecessor. And we have not been able to attract those health insurers

back into this state. I think that's a major flaw in our system. We need that competition. We need those insurers back. And we need to keep the insurers that we have. As I say, we need more competition. We have a responsibility to maintain a positive business climate and attract quality jobs in this state.

Now, as I said in the beginning, I'm not in a position to judge whether Premera should be nonprofit or for-profit.

And I think in full disclosure I should tell people that I am a subscriber to Premera, and this year I have used tens of thousands of dollars of their money for my medical treatments.

But I do ask the commissioner and others to take into account the ability of companies to be flexible to make decisions so that they can be financially viable in this state. Thank you very much for your time.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you, Jim. See you later this week in Olympia.

MR. WEST: See you over there. Good to see you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Terry Luding. Following
Terry, Tom Westbrook.

SPEAKER: Terry Luding, L-u-d-i-n-g, from Deer

Park. Tough act to follow. I'm a retired Steel worker from
the now curtailed smelter, and my local union is a member of
Spokane Alliance. I would like to say a little bit about

what could happen if Premera get privatized and control of the insurance moves out of the area.

The Northwest is only beginning to feel the impact of Boeing moving its headquarters to Chicago. Locally, there's several examples of companies that have been acquired by out-of-state corporations. Washington Water Power, a local utility, was bought by a VISTA, and it went from having a surplus and low rates to being in the hole and raising rates.

Another example is a little more personal to me is

Kaiser Aluminium. It went from a profitable business into

bankruptcy. It went from being a part of the community to a

Houston-based ownership that didn't care about the community

or the workers. Hundreds of thousands of dollars in profit

taxes weren't paid which help support the schools that my

kids go to. Superfunded clean-up sites have BandAids and are

polluting our drinking water.

The Chief Regional Power was sold for hundreds of millions of dollars with stipulations of putting money back into the community and to pay the workers, which wasn't done. I was laid off along with hundreds of my coworkers, and our families have been devastated. The grocery stores and shops I spent my paycheck at suffered. When we cried out, "Houston, we have a problem," Spokane might as well have been on the other side of the moon.

Workers or Premera members, results are the same when

decisions are made with executives' and shareholders'

interests in mind instead of being in touch with the

community and people and their needs. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Tom Westbrook.

And, Tom, as you take a seat there, let me just call up the next three individuals. Karen Hyvonen, Jim Dunn, and Paul Brumsmun. Please.

MR. WESTBROOK: Tom Westbrook.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: I keep trying to put an e-r in that.

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm looking at the gentlemen in the suits. And I hardly wear suits anymore, but I have several in my closet. And I hope you'll not think less of me because I'm here in casual attire, one of the joy of retirement.

When I first was asked the question, "Would I like to testify?" I don't -- I didn't know. So I said "yes" so that I could decide not to. But the question that I hear is:

Does Premera need capital? Depends what they want to do.

Depends on what their needs are. And I am not competent to judge that. But I do know that Willy Sutton cleared out.

Where do you go when you need money? You go to the banks.

You go to the investors. Something about that's so easy about spending someone else's money. Let someone else take the risk.

This proposal looks so attractive at one level. But

Page 40

like so many things, I'm wondering if this is easy to get into and seemingly cheap, but hard to get out. There's an old social-worker phrase that I think fits: "Beware. Help may strike at any time." Now, we have an offer of help. Gentlemen in the suits -- and right now I'm kind of fresh from responding to gentlemen in suits elsewhere. And that isn't fair for me to pass judgment on any of you. Let's assume that your hearts and motives are pure.

How costly might this be? Businesses can grow. And we would hope so. But businesses can fail. What then? This is a transactional matter. What risk are we taking? We are -- we here are known as "subscribers."

Now, some hold a political view that government is bad and business is good. This proposal seems to have the name on it of those who are promoting this change and inviting us to make this change. I am not against putting things on a business-like basis. Not at all. But if and when bad things happen, and that of course is what insurance is all about, what insurance do we have? What are we left with? I can confess that I have more willingness to trust and rely on those who don't just answer to the stockholders, but to the populous.

Customers can be cut off and cut out. Citizens can have leverage that is not so readily terminated. Let business sell cars, hair restoratives, and lemonade, but not water,

air, and healthcare.

This is a complex matter, and I've offered you my bias. I have not had the opportunity and really the inclination to get into the nuts and bolts. But thank God you are our representative, and you will do your just diligence or whatever its called, and you will look out for us. Thank you, Mr. Kreidler.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Let me point out, Mr. Westbrook, that if you do have a inclination to dig deeper, it's all on our website. Thank you. Karen Hyvonen.

MS. HYVONEN: Good evening. May name is Karen
Hyvonen. It's spelled H-y-v-o-n-e-n. I live here in
Spokane. I am a member of Westminster Congressional Church
of Christ, which is one of 36 members of the Spokane
Alliance. Our denomination has approximately 30 churches in
Eastern Washington. Most of those are in rural communities.
These are communities that have been hit hard by the changes
in our economy.

Right now, as a nonprofit, Premera's chief responsibilities are to the communities it serves, not to shareholders. If a for-profit Premera, which represents about 60 percent of the insurance market on our side of the state, decides to raise premiums or worse to pull out of those less profitable rural markets, it will severely impact not only our church members, but everyone on this side of the

state. Who will share the burdens of the cost of the uninsured? No foundation could fill the hole if real communities are abandoned.

In addition, if a for-profit Premera, whatever its current intentions, is acquired by the proposed combined Anthem-WellPoint Company, which has individually -- or they have individually swallowed up plans in 13 states so far, my concern is even greater. This proposed megacompany has already stepped to become one of the largest managed care plans in the country. If we want a national health plan, it should be one that we as consumers envision and create for the benefit of patients, not one designed by default to increase profits for shareholders or executives.

The health of each of us and of our community is an asset too important to give away. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Jim Dunn.

MR. DUNN: My name is Jim Dunn. I live in Eaton, Washington. I have been involved in manufacturing for the last 25 years, held positions of vice president of finance and vice president of manufacturing operations and have been very involved with the impact over the years of healthcare benefits and costs.

Is that better?

1

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Get a little closer.

MR. DUNN: Okay. Does that sound better?

Page 43

I'm also actively involved in the Spokane Alliance, which I joined about a year ago. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity for this public forum, and it truly is a privilege to be able to represent my feelings that I know represents a lot of the community.

A nonprofit healthcare corporation has an obligation to provide services and benefits to its members. It has a primary responsibility to manage the members' assets. Its revenue comes from its members. It should be honest, up-front, and accountable to its members. I am against the Premera Blue Cross conversion because it has not proven to have the interests of the public membership or the larger community in mind. There appears to be a separate secret agenda with no clear justifiable cost or benefit for conversion, other than a very potential positive outcome to the executives.

If Premera goes public, the members' interests become secondary at best. Investors, that is the stockholders, probably most of whom will be from out of state, would demand return on their investment; the focus from member healthcare would move to a profit strategy. Rural healthcare services could be curtailed or eliminated. Payments to healthcare providers reduced, and premiums could increase at a rate higher than normal medical cost inflation levels. The overall quality and availability of healthcare could be

reduced.

It seems to me that the direction is being determined in decisions made by the board and executive staff without the understanding and the support of the very members -- and the very members that they are supposed to serve.

Some questions and concerns in researching this request for a conversion. Why weren't over 1 million members made aware of the intent to convert, that it would cost over \$7 million of their funds? What changed from February 2000? Premera stated that it had no intention to convert from a nonprofit to a for-profit corporation.

Why has the board raised executive staff compensation levels to where they are? What was their justification, especially when you compare compensation levels of their peers?

Why does Premera have \$250 million more in reserve than required by state law? Are members funding a reserve that makes an initial public stock offer or an IPO more attractive?

Explain why the existing nonprofit's assets that would be transferred to a new nonprofit foundation but still be owned by their Premera for-profit corporation. Could those assets still be used to promote the New Premera self-interests?

Lots and lots of questions, and members don't know. I

Page 45

think citizen members of Premera Blue Cross have the right to know that -- how their as eggs are being managed and what strategic plans are being considered that could affect those assets. Instead the executive staff and board have acted independently with an apparent amount of self-interest and greed. I think Premera Blue Cross has lost vision of its original mission statement and needs to get retracked. In summary, there are too many secrets and untruths.

The Insurance Commissioner must deny the application to protect the state consumers. In addition, I feel very strongly and believe that the Commissioner should lead the way in establishing new laws or regulation that ensure greater accountability from nonprofit healthcare providers to the public they were designed to serve. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. A reminder -just say to the parties that, if they were moved to ask to or
want to speak, they can certainly get my attention. I'm sure
they've never been shy before. Just to remind them that that
is part of the Administrative Procedures Act. Please.

MR. BRAMSMAN: My name is Paul Bramsman, B-r-a-m-s-m-a-n. I am from Spokane Washington. And I am --want to speak in opposition to the proposal.

I don't believe that an organization that is now -whose purpose now is to serve the healthcare needs of its
residents would be adequately represented by a conversion in

Page 46

which it would now be providing -- whose main goal would be to provide maximum benefits to its members.

We've heard that the conversion will result in a foundation. A lot of people are recognizing that the foundation is a source of money, and it's entirely speculation as to precisely what that foundation will do. Shares will be given to the foundation, but the shares will soon be -- can be diluted by Premera as the shares are adequate -- I had comments to make, and then I'm duplicating what people have said before. And I'm trying not to repeat myself.

I'm a member of the Spokane Alliance. We are -- our member institutions represent over 30,000 people in this area. We have established a team that has for several years been examining healthcare. And the conclusion reached by that team is that this conversion is not in the interests of our people. If I could, could I ask them -- with your permission -- ask those who are opposed to the conversion, just so that we can just see, if they would quietly stand?

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: With all due respect, that isn't part of the Administrative Procedures Act and how we conduct our business. There certainly are a number that apparently are agreeing with your position, but that -- there's no way of putting that into the court record.

MR. BRAMSMAN: Thank you.

Page 47 1 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you very much. Let me next call on Jean Hudson, Linda Kobe-Smith, and Chris 3 Marr. MS. HUDSON: I'm Jean Hudson, spelled J-e-a-n, and 4 I'm a widow. I live here in Spokane. And I'm member of the Washington Action. And I --6 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: You almost have to eat that mic. 8 9 MS. HUDSON: And I agree completely with their 10 fight against this conversion because I know how hard it is for me to pay for my high cost of medicine, which I have to 11 12 take, and my premiums for my insurance. So I'm very much against it. Thank you. 13 14 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Linda 15 Kobe-Smith. MS. KOBE-SMITH: My name is Linda Kobe-Smith, it's 16 K-o-b-e Smith. And I'm a resident of Spokane. I'm a member 17 18 of St. Ann's Parish, which is a member of Spokane Alliance, and I'm also a Premera subscriber. We've been -- we started 19 20 with MSC. And I want to just share a few things as a 21 subscriber and my experience as being part of the company --22 or being supposedly served by the company. 23 Currently we pay 10 percent of our gross income above 24 what our -- to meet our premiums. That's beyond what the --25 after my husband's employer pays their share. And 10 percent

Page 48

of our gross income goes to pay insurance premiums for my husband, my daughter, and myself. My experience as a subscriber has been one of less and less service and feeling like -- that my healthcare needs are somehow unimportant to the company.

About four years ago in a sledding accident, I broke all the bones across my ankle. At that time MSC -- or Premera had only two orthopedic people on their provider list. And we had to fight with Premera to pay the surgeon, who did it -- who did the surgery about an hour after the accident, because Premera was insisting that it was elective surgery. And that's just one small -- one small piece of my experience as a subscriber.

And so my concern is that, if this is the service and the importance that the subscribers have as a nonprofit, how low of quality and service and care will it go when the real -- the real importance shifts to shareholders, rather than those of us who have paid for insurance coverage and find that we're really not a significant player?

And so I oppose the conversion to a -- to a for-profit status.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Okay. And next is Chris Marr. As he comes up, I would like to call Doug Williams, Elliott Fabric, and Deb Harper. Please.

MR. MARR: Good evening, Commissioner. Chris Marr,

Page 49

M-a-r-r, from Spokane. I'm the managing partner of a local business with 150 employees for whom we supply wholly paid health insurance and subsidized dependent coverage. I am an immediate past chair of the Spokane Regional Chamber of Commerce. I serve on the executive committee of Empire Health Services Hospital System, and I'm a board member of a not-for-profit healthcare insurer. However, I need to disclaim up front that I don't speak for any of those organizations tonight.

The testimony I would like to offer really is on behalf -- and offers the perspective of a long-time business and civic leader who has worked actively in the area of healthcare public-policy formation and advocacy at the local, state, and federal level. I think given the complexity and seeming insolubility of the healthcare conundrum in this country, I don't think that gives me the right to say much more than I think I'm trying to understand how healthcare works. Certainly doesn't give one the right to suggest that they have the solution to the crisis of access and affordability that exist right now in healthcare.

For the last three years, my company's group health coverage has been provided by one of Premera's for-profit competitors. We actually switched from a not-for-profit provider -- not Premera by the way -- because of a more affordable rate structure. And in the view of our employees,

we haven't seen any deterioration in the quality of healthcare received.

Now, I'm not suggesting that this particular piece of anecdotal evidence is proof that for-profits are less aggressive in terms of their premium producing, or more efficient, or that they provide a quality of healthcare. I'm merely suggesting that there's a lot more to these things than whether or not there's a shareholder involved. If I were to suggest that -- if I were to suggest that, I would been engaging in the type of fear-based generalization that some conversion opponents are using to make their case.

Now, I've read a number of allegations of restricted access, skyrocketing rates, reduced quality of care, lower reimbursement, and lack of coverage in rural areas. Funny thing is, these are all very real considerations in Eastern Washington.

Now, today, despite the large market share currently held by not for-profits, why is that? It's because the healthcare crisis is an amalgamation of many bad public-policy choices and cost; for instance, pharmaceuticals, the largest survivor of health care inflation; inadequate state and federal state reimbursement levels, which punish the efficiencies of our local healthcare delivery; overregulation or in some cases underregulation, like the Blue Shield hospitals right across the boarder here.

Page 51

State mandates, malpractice, charity care and other costs shift from the public-sector to the private-sector providers, like myself and many of the others in this room, and I can go on.

Each of these in my view is a much bigger issue than the decision of one particular provider to seek capital from the marketplace. It's all too tempting, I think, to paint the motivation of Premera and assign outcomes by pointing to the excesses of Wall Street. And I think a number of people have done that. The fact is, in retrospect, if you look at the actions of the Enrons and the global problems of the health status of the world, they really could easily have been predicted based on their corporate culture and their record of behavior long before those excesses came to light.

As a community leader and a hospital board member, I have witnessed Premera's actions as corporate citizen over a long period in healthcare education, underwriting, and actively promoting a viable two-hospital system. And I can tell you, having actively been involved with a troubled healthcare system, Empire Health Services, I don't believe without the concern and assistance and concern for maintaining competitiveness in our healthcare delivery system here locally we would have been able to weather some of the real problems we've had over the course of the last year or so.

Also, in terms of advocating rural healthcare and promoting a committee involvement model, the board of executive leadership that have championed, those values will be in charge of the New Premera and, I am convinced, continue to work on behalf of affordable quality healthcare in Eastern Washington.

And I'm not going to go into details debating the potential for the exorbitant premium increases, decisions that exit on profitable lines, or executive compensation because I think Premera and others have provided testimony that at least, in my mind as an employer, community leaders have been adequately addressed.

I will state, however, that it is my fundamental belief that a properly functioning free-market system will provide the staples that offer the greater benefit to society. In terms of executive compensation or competitive premium level, the market does have a way of correcting those things over the long term. If we think that circumventing the free-market system does away with those concerns, I'll assure you that they do not. They simply allocate those efficiencies as social costs among all of us as citizens.

Now, not that that's necessarily a bad thing or a good thing, it just goes back to my contention that simply foreseeing the financial structure on one competitor does nothing to enhance the healthcare equation for society as a whole. I think in

the end that's really what this discussion's about.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But that said, I really wouldn't be here discussing or testifying because I believe in a free-market system or because I believe this particular conversion issue was not as significant as other healthcare challenges. I'm here because I believe that Premera has, in fact, made a solid case that its needs -- that it needs access to capital to serve 1.4 million existing customers, to grow its existing customer base, to maintain the reserves that that growth requires that it can't obtain through existing margins, and also, fund needed investment of products, technology, and support facilities, truthfully and hopefully much of that in Eastern Washington and also here in Spokane where Premera has been a significant employer presence for a long time, also, something that has been touched upon my many, as a committed volunteer, many efforts throughout Eastern Washington and throughout our state which would be served by the charitable foundation that Premera proposes to create with this new market valuation. I also view this as a positive outcome of Premera's conversion proposal. And given these many unmet healthcare needs, particularly in rural communities, I think the investment's timely, and it's desperately needed.

I would just like to close by thanking you for providing this opportunity. I think many good issues have been raised. I think many of them require clarification on the part of

Page 54

Premera, and also a thoughtful deliberation on your part. I just hope that your deliberation is based on fact and it doesn't enter the desire to create a villan or a simple solution to a very complex healthcare problem. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Doug Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. I'm Doug Williams. I'm from Leavenworth, Washington. I'm the administrator and CEO of Cascade Medical Center in that small community. I also am representing the other six hospitals in the Central Washington area who have asked me to come tonight.

We're a small town. We're in the mountains. And we have 3 to 4 feet of snow. We're 30 miles from Wenatchee. So we're not too far from the city, but there are times in Leavenworth where the population grows to 20 times its normal size 'cause we're a tourist town. During those times, we consider Wenatchee to be one of our suburbs. We're a public hospital district. That means we're nonprofit, and in every sense of the word that is true. We are very nonprofitable.

How do you forget the behavior of a large insurance company who changes their fundamental mission? I've been asking myself that question. And will that change be good for the patient or not? One of the ways that I do that is to say, "What has their past behavior been like, and will that potentially change in the future when they change their

Page 55

mission?" And I want to share with you what my experience has been with Premera as the CEO of our hospital.

About three years ago we were in deep financial trouble, on the verge of closure. And I asked my CFO to step aside and let me negotiate payer contracts. So I sat at the table with Premera, which was the first contract that I negotiated. I learned very quickly what their relationship -- what they viewed their relationship with me to be.

They are a very major force in Chelan County. Estimates range from 80 to 90 percent of the commercial market is theirs. They are a de facto monopoly in our area. I don't think that's bad. At least our people have somewhere to buy a policy. I don't think it bad to be a monopoly. I think it's bad to act like one, and that's what I found.

When I sat down with Premera, the first thing I did was -- before I did that I read the contract. And I learned you have to get up early in the morning to do that. It's 60 pages, and it will put you to sleep in a hurry. However, I read it all, and I found it very one sided, almost punishing to our hospitals and physicians. We have a six-physician primary care clinic with our hospital. So I rewrote the contract, at least those parts of it that I thought were so onerous and I sent that back, and all of those comments were rejected. All of them.

When I sat down with the negotiator, it became very

Page 56

clear what they wanted. He said, "You know, really, Doug, we really don't need you. We have Wenatchee. And we have a good deal with Wenatchee, and our patients up here, our subscribers, they can drive that far. So, really, we don't need you. And so this is the rate that we'd like you to accept." And it was a 30-percent reduction from what they were paying us at the time. They said, "You really can take this or leave it. It doesn't matter to us."

And I said, "We'll leave it. But I will communicate with the eight or nine thousand people that live in our hospital district out there in the mountains about this conversation and let them see their other choices." There weren't very many for sure.

I went to our board of commissioners, and I said, "It doesn't look like we'll be able to sign a contract with Premera. They're paying us less than our costs. If we lose \$100 on every patient, you can't make it up on volume." Our board supported me on that. And said that's okay.

As it turned out, I talked to the other five small hospitals in our Central Washington area, and they had been treated the same. And we were able, then, to come together as group. Under the statutes we could negotiate payer contracts together. And we did do that and were able to, as group, negotiate a rate that we could live with.

But all this tells me that, when the financial driver

Page 57

moves from a reasonable margin that can remain invested to that plus an amount that has to go to the stockholder, that negotiations can be very cruel for a small hospitals. I believe Premera has already begun to lose sight of the patient. They're willingness to make our patients drive in inclement weather a long distance in order to maximize their margin became very clear to me.

If you have the financial pressures of satisfying stockholders, I think that indeed patients will get forgotten. When you're a de facto monopoly, you can get away with that because the patients don't have very many choices. I'd like to see Premera envision itself as a partner with our doctors and our hospitals in providing good care to our patients, not as a partner with a stockholder and an adversarial relationship with our doctors and hospitals and patients.

I fear that if the conversion goes through that the latter will prevail. Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you.

MR. FABRIC: My name is Elliott Fabric, and I'm a Spokane resident and a healthcare consumer.

You know, I've been listening to all this, and I've been reading in the paper and trying to follow along and figure out what's going on with this entire situation. And it's been troublesome, you know, that you -- on one hand, you

Page 58

sometimes hear that Premera is healthy. It has adequate reserves. It's a wonderful business model. And it's a great thing that people should invest in if it's goes private.

On the other hand, I'm hearing that they are very concerned about the reserves, and that they need to go to the capital markets to maintain enough funds to move into the future. And it's sort of like it can't be both ways. It's one way or the other.

And if it's healthy, you know, I would suggest that they continue in the present form. It's a healthy business. If it's not healthy, then I think we need to address why it isn't. And if the reserves aren't adequate -- and if the reserves aren't adequate and it's not healthy, then why are all the initial -- all of the initial funds from the initial public offering going to go into a charitable foundation? I mean, this whole thing is just not making sense. There's something here that isn't adding up. And I think Premera has not made its case for going private.

One of the things that I would like to believe is that the leadership at Premera, the directors and the executives at Premera, have the best interests of their marketplace of us consumers at heart. And if they do, I would suggest that in the interests of full disclosure you put on the table what the compensation packages look like, what the option packages are going to look like, and make some sort of commitment that

Page 59 at least for the next 10 years going into the future that all 1 options, all compensation and all benefits, all bonuses, be fully disclosed to the public within 60 days of the grant of 3 any options being made. 4 You know, I think, if we've shined light on this situation, we're going to get rid of the suspicion that abounds in the public, and I think we can move forward in 7 some, sort of, a positive fashion. But I would ask as a 8 9 precondition that full disclosure be maintained all the way 10 down the line, continuing forward. And that's really all I 11 have to say. 12 But at this present moment I don't believe Premera has made its case, and I would, therefore, ask that the 13 14 conversion not move ahead. Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Let's see if I --16 17 DR. TRYTKO: I'm not Dr. Deb Harper. But she's in 18 my spot, so I thought I would -- she spoke in my spot, so I 19 was going to speak in hers. 20 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Okay. Let me -- were you 21 here to take the oath? 22 DR. TRYTKO: No. 23 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Let me ask you to raise your right-hand. 24 //// 25

1 ROBERT TRYTKO, M.D., having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

1.3

3 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you.

DR. TRYTKO: My name is Dr. Rob Trytko. I'm the past president of the Spokane County Medical Society and current board member of the Washington State Medical Association and speaking in opposition to the for-profit conversion of Premera.

To give you a little history, back in the early '90s the market in Spokane was very concentrated. Despite that fact, there was a very unwise merger that occurred between Blue Cross Blue Shield and Alaska MSC that resulted in Premera -- not surprisingly -- sored to well over 5,000 Premera market share. Currently in Spokane it is 68 percent. It is a monopoly. I think you can safely say that the health insurance market has failed. There is no competition.

So how has Premera acted in this failed market? I believe that they have affected the structure of the market by erecting barriers to entry to new competitors. There's no competition in Spokane. They've also segmented the market, I think. It's interesting in Spokane, like in many places of the state, Premera and Regence simply do not compete with each other.

Premera utilized its pricing power to rapidly increase premiums, but more importantly; in our perspective, to reduce

Page 61

physicians reimbursement. For this reason, a lot of physicians at a very alarming rate have left Spokane. My group alone, 20 anesthesiologists have lost 12 anesthesiologists, 12 out of 20 in the last four years.

They also avoid risks. Premera has excluded certain highly specialized medical practices. They've erected administrative hassles to prevent payment. And I believe forced physicians into very unfavorable contracts. Like a good monopolist, they also have reduced output. They've eliminated coverage for the sickest of patients. They've turned their backs on the poor by not participating a lot of times with BHP or Healthy Options. The individual market is all but dead. If you read the editorial in the Spokesman Review today, physicians said that these are all prudent business decisions. In my opinion, I think that's not what I would have expected of a company like Premera.

Finally, Premera has not performed. I don't think that they've seen and used their very unique position in the healthcare market to improve quality of care. They also haven't used their economy scale to improve efficiencies.

Sadly, today Premera spends more on itself than it does on all of its contracted physicians combined. And to that I say, What a waste.

But what about its capital? You are very familiar that after the Healthcare Reform Act of 1993, the dominant

Page 62 insurers that would remain, like Premera, drove the medical 1 loss ratios down to all time lows, creating windfall profits. 3 According to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' data, by the end of the '90s, the Washington 4 State health insurance companies possessed nearly 10 percent of all the reserves of all of the companies in the entire It's hard for me to believe that they need more country. capital. 8 9 But that is the past, and I want to speculate about the 10 future. What will happen if they become for-profit? Well, first, of course, they will surely use their monopoly power 11 12 to maximize their profits. They will drive premiums up and physician payments down and export profits out of state to 13 14 the shareholders. Second, I believe that, like a good monopolist, they 15 will reduce output by eliminating unprofitable lines of 16 business and market segments. They may even decide to leave 17 18 the state altogether. 19 But finally, Premera will continue to enrich itself. 20 For all of these reasons, I would urge the Commissioner not to approve the for-profit conversion. Thank you. 21 22 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Next I'd like to call Lloyd Guthrie, Glen Stream, and Robert Hartman, 23 24 please.

Hi. I'm Lloyd Guthrie --

MR. GUTHRIE:

25

1 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Please, excuse me. I'm 2 sorry.

MR. GUTHRIE: I'm Lloyd Guthrie. I'm an executive director of Spokane Cardiology. It's a cardiology group that's been in business in Spokane since 1969.

I'm here to talk about a couple of levels. I think

we -- when we analyze our relationship with Premera, we look

at this conversion in several ways. First of all, I want to

say from the standpoint of our business relationship with

Premera, the clinical programs that Premera's involved with

that we have seen -- I've been involved with Premera for 15

years, and I've seen a tremendous improvement in their

operations, in their commitment to programs that better the

health of their enrollees and their subscribers.

And also, they've taken the lead in reducing overhead by prompting the Washington State credentialing forms (phonetic), pretty much eliminating referrals. All of those things have generated overhead for our groups. As an example, our group has ten full-time employees dealing with billing issues for 15 physicians when I started, we had eight doctors; we had 10 FTs. And Premera helped tremendously in reducing our overhead by making systems more efficient.

The second issue is our regional health-plan needs. And we believe that Premera needs to be a strong plan. We believe the people at Premera have the interest of region in

Page 64

mind, and we support Premera continuing as a regional health plan. On the other hand, we make no statement about the correctness of their for-profit versus not-for-profit effort now. The -- they have to have reasonable return. And we believe that at 1.4 percent return is not reasonable, and subsequently they do need access to capital markets. We all have that issue.

The third area is really related more to the business side of things as a provider. I will say that several years ago our group was excluded from Premera's MSC care plan and because of that, according to my calculations, for a 20-year period, because the patients didn't have access to our cardiology group for six years, the revenue impact would be about \$50 million over the next 20 years. Now, while we support the people at Premera, now we're very concerned that the potential of public markets will force Premera to narrow their networks and subsequently leave others out.

And -- and then on the executive-compensation issue, when we look at Premera's value at a corporate level, if their IPO comes in at somewhere between \$100 million, \$200 million, 7 percent of that -- from what I read, 7 percent of that may be set aside for executive and board compensation over time.

If you look at Premera's financials, Premera, in fact, is not -- I'll just use the 2002 financials -- Premera in our

Page 65

estimation is not a \$2.1 or \$2.5 billion company. Premera's actual value is something they create through their administrative work, which is somewhere around \$400 million in 2002. If, in fact, the value of Premera is at \$400 million, creates a possible \$14 million set aside for executive and board compensation. We believe that number is askew. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you.

DR. STREAM: Sorry to make you struggle reading a doctor's handwriting. My name is Glen Stream, S-t-r-e-a-m. I am a family physician practicing here in Spokane. And I represent the 2,500 members of the Washington Academy of Family Physicians as a past president of that organization.

Our academy is opposed to Premera's proposed conversion to a for-profit company. Our criticisms have previously been stated in forums such as this and repeated here by previous speakers. They related largely to concerns of the demands of a for-profit company to respond to its shareholders. Our concerns have to do with the potential continuation for increase premiums for consumers, decreased payments to providers, and potential withdraw from unprofitable markets. These concerns have been validated in several of the consultant reports.

The issue of conversion cannot be considered in isolation. Our healthcare system is in crisis and on the

Page 66

edge of a disaster. Many of my fellow academy members practice in rural Eastern Washington. These practices are already in financial peril. Inadequate reimbursement from public payers coupled with increased practice costs, including medical liability premiums, threatened the actual viability of these practices.

The dominant commercial payer is Premera. If Premera's payments to providers were to decrease, this could represent the last straw in the viability of those organizations and allow the collapse of those practices. Large geographic areas of Eastern Washington, as a result, could be underserved as far as healthcare services.

No one can predict the future of anything with any certainty. If the conversion proceeds, it is certainly possible that Premera will grow prosperous as a result of its increased access to capital. There may be no impact on provider payments or on consumers. And there may be wonderful benefits from the proposed foundation. However, it is at least equally likely that the conversion will have severe adverse effects on our healthcare system, especially here in Eastern Washington.

Physicians are very familiar with dealing with this type of uncertainty. Patient response to treatment is never completely predicable. The guiding principle in dealing with this certainty has been unchanged for 2500 years. That

principle is: First do no harm.

The proposed conversion to for-profit represents a significant risk to the fragile state of our healthcare system and to the patients it serves. Commissioner Kreidler, I urge you to first do no harm and not allow Premera's conversion to for-profit. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. And I presume you're Deb.

DR. PETERSON: No. I'm actually Dr. Elizabeth

Peterson. And since Dr. Hartman already spoke and we came as
group, I'm taking his spot if that's alright.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: State your name again.

DR. PETERSON: It's Elizabeth Peterson,

P-e-t-e-r-s-o-n. And first of all, thank you for holding these hearings and giving me the opportunity to speak. I am the immediate past president of the Spokane County Medical Society and also a member of the board of trustees of the Washington State Medical Association. The County Society has over 1,000 members. The state organization has approximately 6,000 members. And representing them, I would like to speak in opposition to the proposed conversion.

I would like to address the potential impact this proposed conversion would have on access to care and coverage, particularly in Spokane where Premera enjoys market dominance. Based on my experience with Premera in the past,

Page 68

experience with conversion of other companies elsewhere, and the report of the expert consultants commissioned by the Office of the Insurance Commissioner itself, the following are likely to occur with Premera's proposed conversion:

Elimination of coverage for the sickest patients, elimination of coverage for people at the greatest risk of getting sick, shunning of areas with the least population, reduction of coverage for prescription drugs, ending of coverage for the poor by ending participation in the Basic Health Plan and Healthy Options, dropping of comprehensive coverage in favor of catastrophic plan, thereby reducing coverage for primary and preventive care, such as Well Baby and Well Child Care and immunization, weakening of the already feeble market for individual coverage and undermining of network adequacy. Thus, for these reasons I do not believe that the conversion will serve the citizens of Spokane.

Although we can only speculate about the outcome of conversion or not conversion, I would ask the Commissioner to weigh very carefully the two questions in this regard. What is the worst that would happen if the conversion does not take place, if capitalization does not succeed? Please weigh this carefully against the other question: What is the worst that can happen if it does? Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. I would like to

call on Cary Bush. And I believe Dr. Trytko already spoke.

And Brian McAlpin and then -- and then Patrice Pendell.

Mr. Bush, go ahead.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUSH: My name is Cary Bush. I'm a resident of Spokane. My background is 40 years of being a certified public accountant until my retirement. And that retirement comes about as -- because I was, kind of, in competition with Jim West with how much money we might spend this year.

I voted for one Democrat in my life, and that was Wade Morris. So you think that I would be much in favor of this proposal. In fact, I describe my politics as somewhat to the right of Attila the Hun. But what -- I also happen to be a share in -- a very small shareholder, in the Potlatch Corporation. And what we're going to see with Blue Cross converting to a public company is the same thing that we see at Potlatch Corporation, where "Oh, my gosh. You know the prices for our paper product are so low that we can't make any money, so we're going to cut all the -- we're going to cut the stockholders' dividends. We're going to layoff an awful lot of loggers and people. And we're not going to do the maintenance on our plant. But, oh, my gosh. We should look at the proxy statement and see the way the amounts of the executive compensations have increased." And that's what this plan is going to end up to be, is just nothing more than huge money grabbed by the executives of the public company.

And I'd like to ask the chief executive back there:
Will you be willing to limit your increases in compensation
and total benefits, including stock option values being
expensed as -- are being done by a rather large number of
public companies now? Would you limit those to the same
increase that occurs in minimum wage in the State of
Washington? If you can give me a positive answer to that,
maybe I'll rethink this.

Now I have a question for the investment banker over there. Common stock is probably -- and I've done enough securities work early in my career to know that common stock is the most expensive form of capital raising there is.

There's a lot of -- if -- if Blue Cross really needs this additional capital, there's a way that -- to obtain this capital that a lot much less cost. And the investment banker over there should be able to come with it quicker than I can snap my fingers here together. And I'd like to ask him about that if he's going to do some testimony here. If you can't answer that, then perhaps...

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Cary, the questions can be posed as a part of the record, but responses are not part of --

MR. BUSH: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: -- this proceeding.

25 MR. BUSH: This is going to be returned to the

Page 71

stockholders. And the additional executive compensation that's going to occur through this plan is going to be just like what's happened at Potlatch. It's either going to be in the -- reduced to the stockholders, which basically it's the consumers of medical services that are being the insurance policies who are the stockholders in the Blue Cross corporation in one large sense today.

So it's going to be reduced there, or they're going to be reducing their payments to their logger providers, which means our hospitals, our doctors. And this is just something which is going to be a financial boom, dollars to line the pockets of the, as the gentleman said previously, of the suits. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Yes, sir. Brian McAlpin.

MR. McALPIN: Yes. I'm Brian McAlpin,

M-c-A-l-p-i-n. Thank you for taking the time to listen to my comments regarding Premera's application to convert to a for-profit health plan.

While this subject is as rich with opinions and emotions, I wish to share with you my thoughts based on 20-plus years of experience in healthcare administration. During that time I have worked with and observed many health insurance companies, both not-for-profit and for-profit plans in California to Kentucky and New York to Washington. With this experience I have seen health plans drop insurance

Page 72

coverage on patients and employers, arbitrarily reduce reimbursements to providers, implement significant premium cost increases, and withdraw from geographic coverage areas. All of these actions taken ultimately hurt the patient.

This being said, the one thing that I have seen is that these actions were not only taken by for-profit health plans. In fact, it's been my experience that both not-for-profit and for-profit health plans are willing and able and often do take these types of actions. The only differentiating factor that I have seen positively influencing both not-for-profit and for-profit health plans, thus allowing them to make good patient centered decisions, is living in their value systems. I have observed that the decisions based upon the values, integrity, and commitment of a health plan's leadership are the key requirement for taking positive patient centered actions.

I have not seen that -- I have not seen that a plan's tax status has any significant impact or influence upon such decisions. Why is this important in this situation you're considering? Because in the two years I have interacted with the staff and leadership of Premera Blue Cross. I have seen them follow just such high values and integrity accordingly. I believe they will continue to make good decisions for Washington, regardless of whether they are a for-profit for a not-for-profit entity. Again, I believe they will do this

not because of some financial gain, but because it's the right and professional thing to do for their patients.

I have found Premera Blue Cross staff to be honest and true to their word and will continue to do the right thing for their customers as we move into the very uncertain future in healthcare.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to share my comments.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Patrice

Pendell. And as Patrice comes forward, let me call on the

last two individuals who will have an opportunity to speak.

And that will be Margaret Klubben and Kathy Barrick. I don't

believe -- were you here to be administered the oath when you

came in?

MS. PENDELL: Yes, I was. Good evening and thank you, Commissioner Kreidler, for being here. And I would like to say thank you to our recorder for doing such hard work. Thank you. My name is Patrice Pendell, P-e-n-d-e-l-l. I'm from Spokane, Washington.

The goal and purpose of healthcare is to provide healthcare for its members. And let's not be naive. It's a business, too. Insurance administrator must balance profit, philanthropic activities, needs of physicians, patients, the community, and the company. My concern comes from personal experience.

Page 74

My lobby began in April of 2003. As a younger patient, who has waited until pain has become unbearable and in waiting has hoped for improvements in technology, the day has arrived that I can get a total hip replacement that will perhaps last my entire lifetime. Yet I had to continue to wait. I have already had one done five years ago. And now the ceramic-on-ceramic trident total hip replacement best serves myself, an unusual, but not uncommon patient, who is young active yet suffers from degenerative arthritis. This implant does cost more, about \$3,000 more. But is this more than one or two or perhaps even three operations that I would have to face with another implant?

It is good business to put this type of replacement in a patient like myself. Yet when I went to Premera, I was denied. The medical community at Premera has -- the medical committee -- oh, excuse me -- hospitals, the provider of the implant, Howmedica, the orthopedic surgeons in the community have all come together and come to the table with defined criteria and making concessions. Yet -- and the medical committee at Premera had accepted these recommendations.

Yet the financial end of the company denied. In fact, Premera's position was that they had already had a rate for a total hip at the hospitals. And the type of implant that Sacred Heart chose to provide was solely between the hospital and the physician, essentially saying, "If you want to put

diamonds in, that's your choice. We have a contract for a hip, and we will pay that rate."

Eventually because of my persistent lobbying and writing letters and going to the bottom line -- which is why should a decision which makes medical, financial, and business sense not be forthcoming? -- I did -- I am happy to say -- win this particular battle personally and was granted this and am looking forward to having this done.

But I look to the future and look at the provocative question: What does this say about insurance companies when something that makes so much sense in so many ways is not considered? In a profit climate, what would be the answer?

Thank you very much for your time. And thank you for your...

15 COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Margaret 16 Klubben?

MS. KLUBBEN: Margaret Klubben. You got it right the first time. I'm from Eve. And I'm on the executive board of Spokane Education Association. I'm on the board of directors of Washington Education Association, and I'm also a very active member of the Spokane Alliance. However, I was not planning to speak here tonight because --

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Excuse me. Did you have a chance to take the oath?

MS. KLUBBEN: Yes. I was here way back in the

beginning, and I did have my hand up just in case.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Go ahead, please.

MS. KLUBBEN: The reason I had not intended to speak originally was because I know the members on the health committee, and they are very, very good and thorough. You know, they're wonderful people, and they have investigated this issue quite thoroughly.

But after hearing other people talking -- and I've been sitting in the very back of the room and watching a guy who had said he was on the board of directors for MSC, or he was the executive director at one point. He made his talk. The mayor-elect comes in, talks his talk, shakes hands with him, leaves and doesn't listen to a very important speech from a very good friend of mine, who really had something important to say. I was -- I got scared. Because I have a chronic illness that some day might -- well, it's incurable. Someday it may kill me. I have -- I have -- I could get sick tomorrow, or I could get sick 40 years from now. Okay? But I'm a walking time bomb. Okay?

We know that Kaiser Aluminium was a locally grown company. And MSC was a locally grown company. They both contributed much to this community. But Kaiser Aluminium was taken over by an out-of-state interest, and they really didn't give a hoot about this area. Bottom line, when they talk about bottom line, whether it's Premera, and the -- you

Page 77

know, it doesn't matter. I am not against fair trade. I am
against free trade. Free trade will hurt -- has hurt the
people. Fair trade helps.

When we live in a society where the top executive pay in many companies is obscenely over 600 times their regular employees' pay, we've got a problem. I fear that with Premera going for-profit, because if the treatment for my chronic illness is deemed to affect their profits, will their commitment to the bottom line -- or cut me off and just let me die because I paid low maintenance then or no maintenance?

And I have been -- at one time we did subscribe to a no-care healthcare plan. I'll tell you, you don't want these HMOs. Okay? Premera has been a great healthcare plan. They're probably, really, don't want to have me in their group.

But -- and their premiums -- being on the board of directors of WES, when we've talked, you know, and heard the proposals for the increases, they're based on prior years usage. So yes, sometimes our premiums are going to go up. I don't understand why they feel the need to go for-profit.

Because -- what I hear is out-of-state interests taking over and not giving a rip for Spokane or Eastern Washington or this whole area. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you. Lastly, we have Kathy Barrick.

	Page 78
1	MS. BARRICK: I did not plan to speak tonight, so I
2	need to be sworn in.
3	COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: You need to be sworn in?
4	Good. If you'd raise your right-hand.
5	
6	KATHY BARRICK, having been first duly sworn,
	testified as follows:
7	
8	MS. BARRICK: My name is Kathy Barrick,
9	B-a-r-r-i-c-k, and I live in Spokane. I am also a member of
10	the Spokane Alliance. I am a retired person and a happen to
11	be insured by another company, which is a for-profit company.
12	Information was recently sent to me explaining
13	attempting to explain why my premium will be once again
14	increasing by nearly 100 percent. In that information there
15	were graphs that showed that the profits for the insurance
16	corporations are increasing at a much higher rate than actual
17	healthcare costs are increasing. This was alarming to me
18	because it indicated that the insurance companies are taking
19	the opportunity to make a higher rate of profit out of the
20	equation. For that reason I am concerned about another
21	not-for-profit insurance being granted the opportunity to be
22	joining the profit side of the house.
23	One of the key the cornerstone of the free-market
24	system is competition. And it seems to me that, as consumers
25	and certainly the providers, as we heard tonight, do not have

Page 79 the opportunity to make a lot of choices as far as what is --1 what they can choose to purchase in the way of healthcare. 3 So that the balance of competition that is provided to the free market system isn't really available in the current situation. And so I believe that that also skews these -toward the side -- in advantage of the company that is for-profit. Thank you very much. COMMISSIONER KREIDLER: Thank you very much. 9 I want to thank everybody who testified. By my count, I believe it's 32 individuals who testified. 10 This does conclude our first adjudicative meeting that 11 12 we're holding around the state. We have three more. Later 13 this week we'll be in Yakima, next week we'll be in Seattle, 14 and the week following that we're going to be in Bellingham 15 to repeat these meetings. There's no community that I felt more important to be 16 17 the first than Spokane because clearly they have very much of a big stake in the discussions about the Premera conversion 18 19 because of the prominence of Premera in this particular 20 region of the State of Washington. So I would like to express, again, my appreciation to the people of Spokane and 21 22 Eastern Washington who came, participated, and offered their 23 comments as a part of that hearing. 24 With that, the meeting is adjourned.

(Proceedings concluded at 8:21 p.m.)

25

Page 80 CERTIFICATE 1 2 I, SUE E. GARCIA, a duly authorized Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at 3 Tacoma, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me on 6 the 2nd of December, 2003, and thereafter transcribed by me by means of computer-aided transcription, that the transcript is a 7 full, true, and complete transcript of said proceedings; 8 9 That I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or 10 counsel of any party to this action or relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially 11 interested in the said action or the outcome thereof; 12 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and 13 affixed my official seal this December 9, 2003. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 SUE E. GARCIA, CCR, RPR WA Lic. No. 2781